United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

)

	v.)	C N	00.20420			
	NICHOLAS JOSEPH CYMES)	Case No.	09-30420			
	Defendant)					
	DETENTION ORDER P	ENDING TI	DIAI			
	DETENTION ORDER F	ENDING H	KIAL			
require	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Refoire that the defendant be detained pending trial.	orm Act, 18 U	J.S.C. § 3142	2(f), I conclude that these facts		
	Part I—Findings					
\Box (1)	1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18	U.S.C. § 314	12(f)(1) and	has previously been convicted		
	of \Box a federal offense \Box a state or local offense that	at would hav	e been a fed	eral offense if federal		
	jurisdiction had existed - that is					
	□ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156 for which the prison term is 10 years or more.	5(a)(4)or an o	offense listed	1 in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)		
	\square an offense for which the maximum sentence is dea	ath or life im	prisonment.			
	☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term of te	en years or m	ore is prescr	ibed in		
				*		
	a felony committed after the defendant had been c described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or con					
	☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence but invo	lves:				
	☐ a minor victim					
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm or destructive	ve device or	any other da	ngerous weapon		
	☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250					
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.					
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed since the	☐ date of	conviction	☐ the defendant's release		
	from prison for the offense described in finding (1).					
□ (4)		Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safe of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.				
	Alternative Find	lings (A)				
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant h	nas committe	d an offense			
	☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in					
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).					

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the the defendant's appearance and the	e presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure e safety of the community.
		Alternative Findings (B)
□ (1)	There is a serious risk that the def	fendant will not appear.
√ (2)	There is a serious risk that the def	fendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
	I find that the testimony and informa	Statement of the Reasons for Detention ation submitted at the detention hearing establishes by √ clear and
	ng evidence \Box a preponderance	
no condi Attache		ill reasonably assure the safety of other persons and the community. See
1 Attuche	u.	
	Dowt I	II—Directions Regarding Detention
,		
in a corr pending order of	rections facility separate, to the exter appeal. The defendant must be afformation of the second sec	astody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement of practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody orded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility tes marshal for a court appearance.
Date:	October 13, 2009	S/ Sean F. Cox
-		Judge's Signature
		SEAN F. COX, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE
		Name and Title

Cont. Cymes /09-30420

No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of other persons and the community in that:

- 1) Defendant has admitted to engaging in oral sex with a two-year child on two occasions, and at least one of those incidents occurred while Defendant was in his mother's home and the child's father was present in the home;
- 2) Defendant has currently expressed having a "crush" on a fourteen year old child;
- 3) Defendant previously requested that a female friend bring a three-year old child to his home, for what she understood to be for sexual acts;
- 4) Despite the fact that a search warrant was executed at his home in August of 2009, resulting in the seizure of thousands of sexually explicit images and/or videos depicting real children of ages ranging from one to eight years of age, at the time of his arrest on Friday, October 9, 2009, the arresting agents seized additional child pornography that Defendant continued to collect after the search:
- 5) Defendant's mother works outside of the home four days per week and thus Defendant would be unsupervised for significant periods of time if he were tethered and ordered confined to his mother's home; and
- 6) Defendant's mother's home is located near schools and a bus stop.